

ON THE RESTRICTION OF CROSS CHARACTERISTIC REPRESENTATIONS OF ${}^2F_4(q)$ TO PROPER SUBGROUPS

FRANK HIMSTEDT, HUNG NGOC NGUYEN, AND PHAM HUU TIEP

ABSTRACT. We prove that the restriction of any nontrivial representation of the Ree groups ${}^2F_4(q)$, $q = 2^{2n+1} \geq 8$ in odd characteristic to any proper subgroup is reducible. We also determine all triples (K, V, H) such that $K \in \{{}^2F_4(2), {}^2F_4(2)'\}$, H is a proper subgroup of K , and V is a representation of K in odd characteristic restricting absolutely irreducibly to H .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a quasi-simple group. The problem of classifying all pairs (Φ, H) , where Φ is a representation of G which is absolutely irreducible over a proper subgroup H of G , has been studied recently in many papers. The solution of this problem is a major part of the Aschbacher-Scott program to classify maximal subgroups of finite classical groups ([1], [16]).

When G is a cover of a sporadic group, the problem is largely computational. The case when G is a cover of symmetric or alternating groups is quite complicated and almost completed in [2], [9], [10], and [15]. Our main focus is on the case where G is a finite group of Lie type. This has been solved recently in [11] when G is of type A and in [13], [14] when G is of type G_2 , 2G_2 , 2B_2 , 3D_4 . In this paper, we solve the problem for the Ree groups ${}^2F_4(q)$. The main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let $G = {}^2F_4(q)$, $q = 2^{2n+1}$, $n \geq 1$ and let Φ be any nontrivial representation of G in characteristic $\ell \neq 2$. If H is any proper subgroup of G , then $\Phi|_H$ is reducible.*

The structure of the paper is the following. In the next section, we show that the restriction of any irreducible representation of ${}^2F_4(q)$ in odd characteristic to most

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C20; Secondary 20C33, 20C15.

Key words and phrases. Cross characteristic representations, Irreducible restrictions.

Part of this work was done while the authors were participating in the program on Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Related Topics at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute (MSRI), Berkeley. It is a pleasure to thank the organizers Professors J. L. Alperin, M. Broué, J. F. Carlson, A. S. Kleshchev, J. Rickard, B. Srinivasan for generous hospitality and support and stimulating environment.

The third author gratefully acknowledges the support of the NSF (grants DMS-0600967 and DMS-0901241).

of its maximal subgroups is reducible except possibly for the two maximal parabolic subgroups. This is done by using the results on the maximal subgroups [12] and the lower bound on the degrees of nontrivial irreducible representations of ${}^2F_4(q)$ proved in [18]. The small groups ${}^2F_4(2)$ as well as ${}^2F_4(2)'$ are handled in §3. Finally, in §4, we finish the proof of Theorem 1 by showing that the restrictions to maximal parabolic subgroups are reducible.

2. BASIC REDUCTION

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \neq 2$. Given a finite group X , we denote by $\mathfrak{d}_\ell(X)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_\ell(X)$ the smallest and largest dimensions of non-trivial irreducible $\mathbb{F}X$ -modules. As usual, $\text{Irr}(X)$ (resp. $\text{IBr}_\ell(X)$) will be the set of irreducible complex characters (resp. irreducible ℓ -Brauer characters) of X . If χ is a complex character of X , we denote by $\hat{\chi}$ the restriction of χ to ℓ -regular elements of X .

First we record the following obvious observation:

Lemma 2. *Let K be a finite group. Suppose that V is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}K$ -module of dimension greater than one, and H is a proper subgroup of K such that the restriction $V|_H$ is irreducible. Then*

$$\sqrt{|H/Z(H)|} \geq \mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}(H) \geq \mathfrak{m}_\ell(H) \geq \dim(V) \geq \mathfrak{d}_\ell(K).$$

Lemma 3. [7, Corollary (11.29)] *Let K be a finite group and H be a normal subgroup of K . Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(K)$ and $\theta \in \text{Irr}(H)$ be a constituent of χ_H . Then $\chi(1)/\theta(1)$ divides $|K/H|$.*

Lemma 4. *Let K be a finite group and $H \triangleleft K$. Suppose $|K/H| = p$ is prime and $\chi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(K)$. Then either*

- (i) $\chi|_H$ is irreducible or
- (ii) $\chi|_H = \sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i$, where the θ_i 's are distinct and irreducible.

Proof. Since $|K/H|$ is prime, the inertia subgroup of an irreducible constituent of $\chi|_H$ is H or K . Now, the claim follows from Clifford theory, see [4, III.2.12, III.2.5, III.2.11, III.2.14]. $\square$

In the following theorem, we use the result and notation in [12] except that the Ree groups will be denoted by ${}^2F_4(q)$ instead of ${}^2F_4(q^2)$.

Theorem 5 (Reduction Theorem). *Let $G = {}^2F_4(q)$, $q = 2^{2n+1}$, $n \geq 1$ and let Φ be an irreducible representation of G in characteristic $\ell \neq 2$. Suppose that $\deg(\Phi) > 1$ and M is a maximal subgroup of G such that $\Phi|_M$ is irreducible. Then M is G -conjugate to one of the following groups:*

- (i) $P_a = [q^{11}] : (L_2(q) \times (q-1))$, the short-root maximal parabolic subgroup of order $q^{12}(q-1)(q^2-1)$,

- (ii) $P_b = [q^{10}] : (Sz(q) \times (q-1))$, the long-root maximal parabolic subgroup of order $q^{12}(q-1)^2(q^2+1)$.

Proof. By [18, Theorem 1.4], we have $\mathfrak{d}_\ell(G) = \mathfrak{d}_\ell({}^2F_4(q)) \geq (q^4 + q^3 + q)(q-1)\sqrt{q/2}$ for every $\ell \neq 2$. Next, according to [12], if M is a maximal subgroup of G , but M is not a maximal parabolic subgroup, then M is G -conjugate to one of the following groups:

- 1) $N_G(\langle t_4 \rangle) = C_G(t_4) : 2 \simeq SU_3(q) : 2$,
- 2) $N_G(T_8) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_{q+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q+1}) : GL_2(3)$,
- 3) $N_G(T_6) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_{q-\sqrt{2q}+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q-\sqrt{2q}+1}) : [96]$ if $q > 8$,
- 4) $N_G(T_7) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_{q+\sqrt{2q}+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q+\sqrt{2q}+1}) : [96]$,
- 5) $N_G(T_{10}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-\sqrt{2q^3+q}-\sqrt{2q}+1} : 12$,
- 6) $N_G(T_{11}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{q^2+\sqrt{2q^3+q}+\sqrt{2q}+1} : 12$,
- 7) $PGU_3(q) : 2$,
- 8) $Sz(q) \wr 2$,
- 9) $Sp_4(q) : 2$,
- 10) ${}^2F_4(q_0)$ with $q = q_0^\alpha$, α prime.

First, if M is one of the groups from 2) to 6), then by applying Lemma 3, we have $\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}(M) \leq \max\{|GL_2(3)|, 96, 12\} < (q^4 + q^3 + q)(q-1)\sqrt{q/2} \leq \mathfrak{d}_\ell(G)$ for every $q \geq 8$, contradicting Lemma 2. Second, we consider the case when M is one of the groups in 1) and 7). Note that $|SU_3(q)| = |PGU_3(q)| = q^3(q^3+1)(q^2-1)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}(M) \leq \sqrt{2q^3(q^3+1)(q^2-1)}$, which is less than $(q^4 + q^3 + q)(q-1)\sqrt{q/2}$ when $q \geq 8$. This leads to a contradiction again by Lemma 2. The groups $Sz(q) \wr 2$ and $Sp_4(q) : 2$ can be excluded similarly since $|Sz(q)| = q^2(q^2+1)(q-1)$ and $|Sp_4(q)| = q^4(q^2-1)(q^4-1)$. Finally, assume that $M = {}^2F_4(q_0)$ with $q = q_0^\alpha$, α prime. Since $\alpha \mid (2n+1)$, $\alpha \geq 3$. Then we have $|M| = q_0^{12}(q_0-1)(q_0^3+1)(q_0^4-1)(q_0^6+1) < q_0^{26} \leq q^{26/3}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}(M) < q^{13/3} < (q^4 + q^3 + q)(q-1)\sqrt{q/2} \leq \mathfrak{d}_\ell(G)$, a contradiction. We have shown that the restriction of Φ to any of the groups from 1) to 10) is reducible, as desired. $\square$

3. IRREDUCIBLE RESTRICTIONS FOR ${}^2F_4(2)'$ AND ${}^2F_4(2)$

In the following theorem and its proof, we mainly use the notation in [3] and [8]. By Cl_X we mean the conjugacy class Cl in a group X .

Theorem 6. *Let $G \in \{{}^2F_4(2)', {}^2F_4(2)\}$ and $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$, $\ell \neq 2$. Suppose that φ is nonlinear and M is a proper subgroup of G . Then we have*

- (i) *When $G = {}^2F_4(2)'$, $\varphi|_M$ is irreducible if and only if one of the following holds:*
 - (a) $M = L_3(3)$ or $L_3(3) : 2$, φ is any of the two characters of degree 26 when $\ell \neq 2, 3$ or φ is any of the two characters of degree 27 when $\ell \neq 2, 13$;
 - (b) $M = L_2(25)$, φ is any of the two characters of degree 26 when $\ell \neq 2, 3, 5$.

- (ii) When $G = {}^2F_4(2)$, $\varphi|_M$ is irreducible if and only if one of the following holds:
- (a) $M = {}^2F_4(2)'$, φ is any character which is an extension of an irreducible character of ${}^2F_4(2)'$;
 - (b) $M = L_3(3)$ or $L_3(3) : 2$, φ is any of the four irreducible characters of degree 27 when $\ell \neq 2, 13$.

Proof. (i) Every proper subgroup is contained in a maximal one. So we now temporarily suppose that M is maximal. If (M, φ) is a pair such that $\varphi|_M$ is irreducible, then we will go further by restricting φ to proper subgroups of M . Actually, we will see later that all examples happen when M is maximal. By [3], if M is a maximal subgroup of ${}^2F_4(2)'$, then M is ${}^2F_4(2)'$ -conjugate to one of the following subgroups:

- 1) $L_3(3) : 2$, two non-conjugate subgroups,
- 2) $2.[2^8].5.4$,
- 3) $L_2(25)$,
- 4) $2^2.[2^8].S_3$,
- 5) $A_6.2^2$, two non-conjugate subgroups,
- 6) $5^2 : 4A_4$.

According to both complex and modular character tables of ${}^2F_4(2)'$ from [3] and [8], ${}^2F_4(2)'$ has two irreducible complex characters of degree 26, which are denoted by χ_2 and $\chi_3 = \overline{\chi_2}$; and two irreducible complex characters of degree 27, which are denoted by χ_4 and $\chi_5 = \overline{\chi_4}$. Moreover, any reduction modulo $\ell \neq 2$ of these characters is still irreducible. We also note that ${}^2F_4(2)'$ has an irreducible complex character χ_6 of degree 78 and $\widehat{\chi_6} \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ for $\ell \neq 2, 3$. When $\ell = 3$, $\widehat{\chi_6} - \widehat{1}_G$ is irreducible.

1) $M = L_3(3) : 2$. Note that $\mathfrak{m}_C(M) \leq 52$. Therefore if $\varphi|_M$ is irreducible then $\varphi(1) = 26, 27$. First, if $\varphi(1) = 26$ then $\varphi = \widehat{\chi_2}$ or $\widehat{\chi_3}$. Now we will show that $\chi_2|_{L_3(3)} = \chi_3|_{L_3(3)} = \chi_8$ where χ_8 is a character of degree 26 of $L_3(3)$ as denoted in [3, p. 13]. Since the value of any irreducible character (different from χ_8) of $L_3(3)$ of degree ≤ 26 at the class $6A_{L_3(3)}$ is non-negative while $\chi_2(6A_G) = \chi_3(6A_G) = -1$, it follows that $\chi_2|_{L_3(3)} = \chi_3|_{L_3(3)} = \chi_8$. Furthermore, since $\widehat{\chi_8}$ is irreducible for every $\ell \neq 2, 3$, $\widehat{\chi_2}|_{L_3(3)}$ as well as $\widehat{\chi_3}|_{L_3(3)}$ are irreducible when $\ell \neq 2, 3$. When $\ell = 3$, $\widehat{\chi_2}|_M$ is reducible since $\text{IBr}_3(M)$ does not contain any irreducible character of degree 26.

Next, we consider $\varphi(1) = 27$. Then $\varphi = \widehat{\chi_4}$ or $\widehat{\chi_5}$. Now we will show that $\chi_4|_{L_3(3)} = \chi_5|_{L_3(3)} = \chi_{11}$, where χ_{11} is the unique irreducible character of degree 27 of $L_3(3)$. Note that $L_3(3)$ has a unique conjugacy class of elements of order 4, which is denoted by $4A_{L_3(3)}$ and G has 3 classes $4A_G, 4B_G$, and $4C_G$. Since the value of any character of degree ≤ 26 of $L_3(3)$ at the class $4A_{L_3(3)}$ is non-negative while $\chi_2(4A_G) = \chi_2(4B_G) = -2$, it follows that $4A_{L_3(3)} \subset 4C_G$. Now we assume that $\chi_4|_{L_3(3)} \neq \chi_{11}$. Then $\chi_4|_{L_3(3)}$ is sum of characters of degrees less than 27 and therefore $\chi_4|_{L_3(3)}(4A_{L_3(3)}) \geq 0$, which leads to a contradiction since $\chi_4(4C_G) = -1$. So $\chi_4|_{L_3(3)} = \chi_5|_{L_3(3)} = \chi_{11}$ since χ_{11} is real. By inspecting the Brauer character table

of $L_3(3)$, we see that $\widehat{\chi}_{11} \in \text{IBr}_\ell(L_3(3))$ for every $\ell \neq 2, 13$. Note that $L_3(3)$ does not have any irreducible 13-Brauer character of degree 27. Therefore, when $\ell = 13$, $\widehat{\chi}_4|_{L_3(3)}$ is reducible and so is $\widehat{\chi}_4|_M$.

Now suppose that M is a proper subgroup of $L_3(3)$ or $L_3(3) : 2$ but $M \neq L_3(3)$, and $\varphi = \widehat{\chi}_i$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$. By [3, p. 13], $|M| \leq 432$. It follows that $\mathbf{m}_\mathbb{C}(M) \leq \sqrt{|M|} < 21$. This implies that $\varphi|_M$ is reducible since $\widehat{\chi}_i(1) = 26$ or 27 .

2) $M = 2.[2^8].5.4$. Since $\mathbf{m}_\mathbb{C}(M) \leq \sqrt{|M|} < 102$, if $\varphi|_M$ is irreducible then $\varphi(1) < 102$ and therefore φ must be the reduction modulo ℓ of one of the characters of degrees 26, 27, 78 or $\varphi = \widehat{\chi}_6 - \widehat{1}_G$ when $\ell = 3$. Note that M is solvable. Hence, by the Fong-Swan Theorem φ lifts to an irreducible complex representation of M , and so $\varphi(1) \in \{26, 27, 78, 77\}$ divides $|M|$, a contradiction.

3) $M = L_2(25)$. According to [3, p. 17], $\mathbf{m}_\mathbb{C}(M) = 26$. Therefore if $\varphi|_M$ is irreducible then $\varphi = \widehat{\chi}_2$ or $\widehat{\chi}_3$. First we show that $\chi_2|_M$ and $\chi_3|_M$ are indeed irreducible. Note that M has a unique class of elements of order 3, which is denoted by $3A_M$, and this class is contained in the class $3A_G$ of G . The value of any character of M of degree < 26 at the class $3A_M$ is non-negative. On the other hand $\chi_2(3A_G) = \chi_3(3A_G) = -1$. It follows that $\chi_2|_M$ and $\chi_3|_M$ are irreducible. In fact, it is easy to see that $\chi_2|_M = \chi_3|_M = \chi_{13}$, where χ_{13} is an irreducible character of M mentioned in [3, p. 17]. By inspecting the Brauer character table of $L_2(25)$, we have that $\widehat{\chi}_{13}$ is irreducible if and only if $\ell \neq 3, 5$.

Now suppose that M is a proper subgroup of $L_2(25)$ and $\varphi = \widehat{\chi}_i$ for $i = 2, 3$. Then, by [3, p. 16], $\mathbf{m}_\mathbb{C}(M) \leq \sqrt{|M|} < 18$. This implies that $\varphi|_M$ is reducible since $\chi_i(1) = 26$.

4) $M = 2^2.[2^8].S_3$. This case is treated similarly as the case 2). We also have that $\varphi|_M$ is reducible for every $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ with $\ell \neq 2$.

5) $M = A_6.2^2$ or 6) $M = 5^2 : 4A_4$. We have $\mathbf{m}_\mathbb{C}(M) \leq \sqrt{|M|} < 38$. Therefore if $\varphi|_M$ is irreducible then $\varphi(1) = 26$ or 27 . That means $\varphi = \widehat{\chi}_i$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Since $26, 27 \nmid |M|$, it follows that $\chi_i|_M$ is reducible and so is $\widehat{\chi}_i|_M$.

(ii) By [3] and [12], if M is a maximal subgroup of ${}^2F_4(2)$ but $M \neq {}^2F_4(2)'$, then M is ${}^2F_4(2)$ -conjugate to one of the following subgroups:

- 1) $13 : 12$,
- 2) $2.[2^9].5.4$,
- 3) $L_2(25).2$,
- 4) $2^2.[2^9].S_3$,
- 5) $5^2 : 4S_4$,
- 6) $SU_3(2) : 2$.

1) $M = 13 : 12$. We have $\mathfrak{d}_\ell(G) \geq 27 > \mathbf{m}_\mathbb{C}(M)$ for every $\ell \neq 2$. Therefore $\varphi|_M$ is reducible for any $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$.

2) – 6). Suppose that $\varphi|_M$ is irreducible. Then $\varphi(1) \leq \mathbf{m}_\mathbb{C}(M) \leq \sqrt{|M|} < 144$. Moreover, since M is solvable, $\varphi|_M$ is liftable to a complex character of M and

therefore $\varphi(1) \mid |M|$. Inspecting both the complex and modular character tables of ${}^2F_4(2)$, we see that ${}^2F_4(2)$ does not have any irreducible character satisfying these conditions.

3) $M = L_2(25).2$. We have $\mathfrak{m}_C(M) \leq 52$. Therefore, if $\varphi|_M$ is irreducible then φ is the reduction modulo ℓ of the unique irreducible character of degree 52 (which we denote by χ) or four irreducible characters of degree 27 (which we denote by $\chi'_4, \chi''_4, \chi'_5$ and χ''_5). Here we notice that χ_2 and χ_3 fuse in G and give the character χ while χ_4 extends to χ'_4 and χ''_4 and χ_5 extends to χ'_5 and χ''_5 .

Since $27 \nmid |M|$, it follows that $\chi'_4|_M, \chi''_4|_M, \chi'_5|_M$ and $\chi''_5|_M$ are reducible. Now it remains to consider $\varphi = \widehat{\chi}$. As we have mentioned in (i)3), $\chi_2|_{L_2(25)} = \chi_3|_{L_2(25)} = \chi_{13}$. It follows that $\chi|_{L_2(25)} = 2\chi_{13}$. This and Lemma 4 imply that $\chi|_M$ is reducible and so is $\widehat{\chi}|_M$.

We have shown that $\varphi|_M$ is reducible if M is not a subgroup of ${}^2F_4(2)'$. Now statement (ii) of the theorem follows immediately from part (i). $\square$

4. RESTRICTION TO MAXIMAL PARABOLIC SUBGROUPS

Lemma 7. *Let P_a denote the short-root maximal parabolic subgroup of order $q^{12}(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)$ of $G = {}^2F_4(q)$, $q = 2^{2n+1}$, $n \geq 1$ and let $U_a := O_2(P_a)$. Then*

- (i) *For any odd prime r , $O_r(P_a) = 1$.*
- (ii) *The center $Z := Z(U_a)$ of U_a is an elementary-abelian group of order q^2 .*
- (iii) *Let $\lambda \in \text{IBr}_\ell(Z)$, $\ell \neq 2$, be a non-trivial irreducible Brauer character of Z and I the inertia subgroup of λ in P_a . Then I is solvable.*
- (iv) *Let $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(P_a)$, $\ell \neq 2$, be a faithful irreducible Brauer character of P_a . Then φ lifts to a faithful complex character χ of P_a .*

Proof. (i) Since $O_r(P_a), U_a \triangleleft P_a$ and $O_r(P_a) \cap U_a = 1$, any element $g \in O_r(P_a)$ is centralized by U_a , which has order q^{11} . Thus q^{11} divides $|C_{P_a}(g)|$. Assuming $g \neq 1$, we see by [6, Table A.3] that g is P_a -conjugate to a long-root element $\alpha_{12}(1)$. But then g is a 2-element, a contradiction. Hence $O_r(P_a) = 1$.

(ii) By [17, (3.6)], we have $Z(U_a) = \{\alpha_{11}(d_1)\alpha_{12}(d_{12}) \mid d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$, which is an elementary-abelian group of order q^2 .

(iii) From the orders of the centralizers in [6, Table A.3]), we see that P_a acts transitively on $Z \setminus \{1\}$ and so, P_a also acts transitively on $\Omega := \text{IBr}_\ell(Z) \setminus \{1_Z\}$. Hence, $|P_a : I| = q^2 - 1$ and $U_a \subseteq I$. Consider $\bar{I} := I/U_a$ which we identify with a subgroup of the Levi complement $L_a = Z(L_a) \times [L_a, L_a] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1} \times \text{SL}_2(q)$ of P_a . The subgroup $\bar{I}_1 := \bar{I} \cap [L_a, L_a]$ is a normal subgroup of $\bar{I}$ and $\bar{I}/\bar{I}_1$ is cyclic. So, it is enough to show that $\bar{I}_1$ is solvable.

Since $[L_a, L_a] \triangleleft L_a$ of index $q - 1$ and L_a acts transitively on Ω , all orbits of $[L_a, L_a]$ on Ω have the same size, say t , and t divides $q^2 - 1$ and t is a multiple of $q + 1$. Hence, the index of $\bar{I}_1$ in $[L_a, L_a]$ divides $q^2 - 1$ and is a multiple of $q + 1$. So, $\bar{I}_1$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(q)$ and $q \mid |\bar{I}_1|$ and $|\bar{I}_1| \mid q(q - 1)$. It is easy to see (for example

using the Bruhat decomposition) that every subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ containing a Sylow 2-subgroup and whose order divides $q(q-1)$ is contained in some Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$. So, $\bar{I}_1$ is solvable and then also I is solvable.

(iv) Let λ be an irreducible constituent of $\varphi|_Z$, and let I be the inertia subgroup of λ in P_a . By Clifford theory, $\varphi = \mathrm{Ind}_I^{P_a}(\psi)$ for some $\psi \in \mathrm{IBr}_\ell(I)$ whose restriction to Z contains λ . Since φ is faithful, λ is not the trivial character. So (iii) implies that I is solvable. By the Fong-Swan Theorem, ψ lifts to a complex character ρ of I . Hence, φ lifts to the complex character $\chi := \mathrm{Ind}_I^{P_a}(\rho)$.

Assume that $K := \mathrm{Ker}(\chi)$ is non-trivial; in particular $\ell \neq 0$. If K is not an ℓ -group, then K contains a non-trivial ℓ' -element g . Since $\varphi(g) = \chi(g) = \chi(1) = \varphi(1)$, we see that φ is not faithful, a contradiction. Hence K is an ℓ -group, and so $O_\ell(P_a) \neq 1$, contradicting (i). $\square$

Theorem 8. *Let M be a maximal parabolic subgroup of $G = {}^2F_4(q)$, $q = 2^{2n+1}$, $n \geq 1$ and let $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_\ell(G)$, $\ell \neq 2$, be of degree > 1 . Then $\varphi|_M$ is reducible.*

Proof. First suppose that $M = P_b$, the long-root parabolic subgroup of G . Then the statement follows from Theorem 1.6 of [18]. So we may assume that $M = P_a$, the other maximal parabolic subgroup of G . Also assume the contrary: $\varphi|_{P_a}$ is irreducible.

We will consider the two long-root elements $u := \alpha_{12}(1)$ and $v := \alpha_8(1)$ of P_a , in the notation of [5], [6]. Certainly, these two long-root elements are conjugate in G , so $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$. By Lemma 7, $\varphi|_{P_a}$ lifts to a complex irreducible character χ of P_a which is faithful. Since u and v are ℓ' -elements, we have $\varphi(u) = \chi(u)$ and $\varphi(v) = \chi(v)$. It follows that

$$(1) \quad \chi(u) = \chi(v).$$

According to [6], the faithful character χ must be one of ${}_{P_a}\chi_{36}$, ${}_{P_a}\chi_{37}$ or ${}_{P_a}\chi_j(k)$ with $j \in \{34, 35, 38, 39, 40\}$. The values of these characters on u and v are computed in [6] and displayed in the following Table:

	u	v		u	v
${}_{P_a}\chi_{34}(k)$	$-\sqrt{\frac{q^7}{2}}$	$-(q-1)\sqrt{\frac{q^5}{2}}$	${}_{P_a}\chi_{38}(k)$	$-(q-1)\sqrt{\frac{q^7}{2}}$	$-(q-1)^2\sqrt{\frac{q^5}{2}}$
${}_{P_a}\chi_{35}(k)$	$-\sqrt{\frac{q^7}{2}}$	$-(q-1)\sqrt{\frac{q^5}{2}}$	${}_{P_a}\chi_{39}(k)$	$-(q-1)\sqrt{\frac{q^7}{2}}$	$-(q-1)^2\sqrt{\frac{q^5}{2}}$
${}_{P_a}\chi_{36}$	$-(q-1)\sqrt{\frac{q^7}{2}}$	$-(q-1)^2\sqrt{\frac{q^5}{2}}$	${}_{P_a}\chi_{40}(k)$	$-q^4(q-1)$	$q^3(q-1)$
${}_{P_a}\chi_{37}$	$-(q-1)\sqrt{\frac{q^7}{2}}$	$-(q-1)^2\sqrt{\frac{q^5}{2}}$			

Now one sees that (1) is violated. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume the contrary: $\Phi|_H$ is irreducible. Without loss we may assume that Φ is absolutely irreducible and that H is a maximal subgroup of

G . Now we can apply the Reduction Theorem 5 to see that H is G -conjugate to P_a or P_b . However, this is a contradiction to Theorem 8. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher, *On the maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups*, Invent. Math. **76** (1984), 469–514.
- [2] J. Brundan and A. S. Kleshchev, *Representations of the symmetric group which are irreducible over subgroups*, J. reine angew. Math. **530** (2001), 145–190.
- [3] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [4] W. Feit, *The Representation Theory of Finite Groups*, North-Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1982.
- [5] F. Himstedt and S.-c. Huang, *Character table of a Borel subgroup of the Ree groups ${}^2F_4(q^2)$* , LMS J. Comput. Math. **12** (2009), 1–53.
- [6] F. Himstedt and S.-c. Huang, *Character tables of the maximal parabolic subgroups of the Ree groups ${}^2F_4(q^2)$* , (submitted).
- [7] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Dover Publications, New York, 1994.
- [8] C. Jansen, K. Lux, R. Parker, and R. Wilson, *An Atlas of Brauer Characters*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [9] A. S. Kleshchev and J. Sheth, *Representations of the alternating group which are irreducible over subgroups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **84** (2002), 194–212.
- [10] A. S. Kleshchev and P. H. Tiep, *On restrictions of modular spin representations of symmetric and alternating groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2003), 1971–1999.
- [11] A. S. Kleshchev and P. H. Tiep, *Representations of the general linear groups which are irreducible over subgroups*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
- [12] G. Malle, *The maximal subgroups of ${}^2F_4(q^2)$* , J. Algebra **139** (1991), 52 – 69.
- [13] H. N. Nguyen, *Irreducible restrictions of Brauer characters of the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$ to its proper subgroups*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 1364–1390.
- [14] H. N. Nguyen and P. H. Tiep, with an Appendix by F. Himstedt, *Cross characteristic representations of ${}^3D_4(q)$ are reducible over proper subgroups*, J. Group Theory **11** (2008), 657–668.
- [15] J. Saxl, *The complex characters of the symmetric groups that remain irreducible in subgroups*, J. Algebra **111** (1987), 210–219.
- [16] L. L. Scott, *Representations in characteristic p* , in: The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, Calif., 1979), pp. 319–331, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **37**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.
- [17] K. Shinoda, *A characterization of odd order extensions of the Ree groups ${}^2F_4(q)$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I A Math. **22** (1975), 79–102.
- [18] P. H. Tiep, *Finite groups admitting grassmannian 4-designs*, J. Algebra **306** (2006), 227–243.

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, ZENTRUM MATHEMATIK, SB-S-MA, BOLTZMANNSTR.
3, 85748 GARCHING, GERMANY

E-mail address: `himstedt@ma.tum.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824,
U.S.A.

E-mail address: `hungnguyen@math.msu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON, AZ 85721, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `tiep@math.arizona.edu`